(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 9:32 PM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: Christopher Hobbs

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Homeland Security Investigations

U.S. Embassy, Bangkok, Thailand

Phone: +66 2 205 (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

CLASS: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

(Bangkok is UTC/GMT +7 hours)

WARNING: This message and any attached documents are LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from Homeland Security Investigations. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message.

From (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Friday. June 12, 2015 9:16 AM

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Re: Christopher Hobbs

At this time Mr. Hobbs was still being prosecuted by Thai authorities based upon evidence provided by the FBI. Final non-prosecution order issued August 6, 2015. The FBI and DHI files on Hobbs had been closed by this date so why would there be a gag order?

(b)(6) I will email his attorney again. He's no longer the subject of a US investigation, but he is still represented apparently. So none of us should be talking with

him (or have any apparent reason to be) (b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 09:42 PM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE: Christopher Hobbs

(b)(6), - so that I don't have to do it, could you please respond to Mr. Hobbs by telling him that "all law enforcement agencies at the Embassy are under specific orders from the cognizant U.S. Attorney (i.e. you) that all correspondence shall/shall be conducted thru his attorney to the DOJ." I know that I'm going to get sucked into this case when I had nothing to do with it. We coordinated (b)(b)(7)(arrest with TIB only. Hobbs' involvement and subsequent arrest was initiated by the RTP based on their independent investigation. To my knowledge there are no/no active US investigations into Hobbs. Thanks, This contradicts Brian Pearce's February 5, 2015 internal DOJ email ""He (Hobbs) was arrested by Thai authorities (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)and investigated by FBI-LA" and "The FBI has a parallel investigation". The RTP did not have a parallel U.S. Embassy Bangkok investigation until after December 12, 2013 at which time 120-122 Wireless Road they were given the FBI investigation files and evidence Bangkok 10330. Thailand Telephone (66-2) 205 (b)(b)(7) This contradicts Randall Devine's April 11, 2014 statement in FBI files "On 04/04/2014, (RTP) arrest This is Brian Pearce giving "specific orders" warrant for HOBBS based on statements of a child victim not to talk with me but only my lawyer. Why SBU obtained June 2013". DHI reports informing RTP on This email is UNCLASSIFIED. would the DOJ only speak to someone that December 12, 2013 of the crimes allowing RTP to start passed the Bar exam and not Mr. Hobbs an investigation by providing DHI/FBI testimony and directly if they had nothing to hide evidence (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)From Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 9:40 PM To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)Cc: Subject: Re: Christopher Hobbs (b)(6) let's discuss further when I get back. $\frac{(b)(0)}{(b)(7)}$ (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)From: **Sent**: Thursday, June 11, 2015 02:22 AM To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)Cc: Subject: FW: Christopher Hobbs (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

From (b)(6),(b)(7)(C) **Sent:** Thursday, August 27, 2015 4:53 PM

Tq (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Subject: RE: (No Subject)

Spoke with (b)(7)(1) the Police Attaché; I think you've met. Seems he received the same materials our Swiss colleague did. There was some concern on the part of the Dutch Amb, but (b) (b) was able to recognize it for what it is. I don't think there is an issue there. But, the Austrians just gave ATCC (The NGO) a very significant donation as did the Rotary Club. So, I'd imagine they will also be on the mailing list.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

HSI Bangkok

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 5:47:51 AM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: RE:

I'd like to hear more on this...

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Embassy Bangkok | 120-122 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330, Thailand | Telephone (66-2) 205-(b)(6),(

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, August 27, 2015 3:56 PM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject:

If you're keeping score at home, Hobbs is now making friends with our neighbors at the Dutch embassy.

Telephone (66-2) 20 (b)(6), (b)(7)(C

SBU

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Thursday, June 11, 2015 9:40 PM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: Re: Christopher Hobbs

(b)(6] let's discuss further when I get back. (b)(6),(b

From Se (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)
Cc

Subject: FW: Christopher Hobbs

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

I do not know what needs to be done – but there must/must be a way that we can put this issue to bed. He has now posted all this stuff to his website – regardless of its veracity – for the whole world to view.

(b) — have they closed things out on the case back in the US?

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

U.S. Embassy Bangkok 120-122 Wireless Road Bangkok 10330, Thailand

Telephone (66-2) 205 (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Sunday, May 08, 2016 9:35 PM

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FW: FYSA

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Homeland Security Investigations

U.S. Embassy, Bangkok, Thailand Phone: +66 2 205 (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

CLASS:

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

(Bangkok is UTC/GMT +7 hours)

WARNING: This message and any attached documents are LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE and is designated FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 USC 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information, and is not to be released to the public or personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval from Homeland Security Investigations. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply e-mail and then delete this message.

Oj	riginal Message	
From	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)	
Sent:	Tuesday, August 25, 2015 11:34 AM	
To:	(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)	
Subje	ct: Re: FYSA	

Nice

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Sent: Tuesday, August 25, 2015 11:29

To: (b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

Subject: FYSA

You may already be aware but HOBBS is now writing to other embassies and criticizing the FANC donation to ATCC and our practices in general. He's the gift that keeps on giving.

(b)(6),(b)(7)(C)

HSI Bangkok